



Reviewer's name:

Review number (provided by Managing Editor):

Author(s):

strongly disagree	1
disagree	2
agree	3
strongly agree	4
No	1
Yes	4
N/A	Blank

Goals	YOUR RATING		YOUR COMMENTS
1. Intended target/audience (e.g., students, residents) is identified	No (1) or Yes (4)		
2. The author's description of this material is accurate and up-to-date	1---2---3---4		
3. The author's description of the material includes clear goals or statement of purpose	1---2---3---4		
Preparation and content quality			
4. Content is accurate and up-to-date throughout the material	1---2---3---4		
5. Citations, references, credits and/or links are relevant	1---2---3---4		
6. Citations, references, credits are complete	1---2---3---4		
Methods: effectiveness of material			
7. Instructional/assessment methods are appropriate for the stated goals/objectives	1---2---3---4		
8. Multimedia (e.g. videos) is effectively used	1---2---3---4		
9. Learning activities are used effectively (e.g., learner control, navigation)	1---2---3---4		
10. Feedback is used effectively	1---2---3---4		
11. Methods maintain learning dignity	1---2---3---4		

FOR PUBLIC RELEASE



Reviewer's name:	
Review number (provided by Managing Editor):	
Author(s):	

Presentation and ease of use			
12. Material is easy to use	1---2---3---4		
13. Content is clear and well organized	1---2---3---4		
14. Video quality is good	1---2---3---4		
15. Audio quality is good	1---2---3---4		
16. Image/illustration quality is good	1---2---3---4		
17. The material offers effective help functions	1---2---3---4		
18. The quality of the specimens is good (adequate staining, adequate specimen preparation, etc.)	1---2---3---4		
19. The material is easy to install	1---2---3---4		
20. Material is engaging	1---2---3---4		
21. Application loads, launches, and executes smoothly (the material appears to have no bugs)	No (1) or Yes (4)		
Significance			
22. Material offers an innovative learning/teaching method	1---2---3---4		
23. Evidence of product effectiveness is provided	1---2---3---4		
24. Documentation describes how the material builds on prior work	1---2---3---4		
25. Material contributes to the field	1---2---3---4		
26. Material can be customized to fit a range of curricula/courses	No (1) or Yes (4)		
27. How does the material contribute to the field?			
Reflective critique			
28. Documentation includes lessons learned, future directions or suggestions for adaptations or extensions	No (1) or Yes (4)		
29. Documentation provides sufficient information to guide others in using the material(s)	No (1) or Yes (4)		

FOR PUBLIC RELEASE



Reviewer's name:	
Review number (provided by Managing Editor):	
Author(s):	

Your overall rating of this material:

<input type="checkbox"/> ★	Does not meet minimal standards or contribute to the field: REJECT
<input type="checkbox"/> ★★	Meets minimal standards and contributes to the field, but there are concerns: ACCEPT with REVISIONS
<input type="checkbox"/> ★★★	Meets quality standard and contributes to the field: ACCEPT
<input type="checkbox"/> ★★★★	Is excellent overall and significantly contributes to the field: ACCEPT with ACCLAMATION

Strengths of this material:

Modifications required for publication:

Suggestions for future developments:

FOR PUBLIC RELEASE